W.P.(C) No. 4708 of 2002

19.08.2016 Heard Mr. A.K. Das, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. D. Nayak on behalf of Mr. S.K. Nayak, learned counsel for the opposite party.

The petitioner files this application seeking to quash the order dated 24.11.2001 under Annexure-4 imposing punishment having indulged in malpractice in the Annual H.S.C. Examination, 2001 by which his result has been cancelled and also he was debarred from appearing the supplementary H.S.C. Examination, 2001.

Mr. D. Nayak, learned counsel for the opposite party states that in the meantime fourteen years have already been elapsed, therefore the with efflux of time the writ petition has become infructuous.

Considering the contention raised by learned counsel for the parties and after going through the records, it appears that the order impugned is dated 24.11.2001 and in the meantime fourteen years have been elapsed. Therefore, with efflux of time, the relief sought in the writ petition does not deserve to be granted. However, if any cause of action survives, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the appropriate forum in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid liberty, the writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(Dr. B.R. SARANGI)

JUDGE